

RESEARCH APPENDIX

Date Transfer Requested: 11/30/2018 (Per: CMH)

☞ **Appendix P** ... has been added to the **2017 LRB-6071**

Appendix A ☞ LRB 17-5979

Appendix B ☞ LRB 17-5982

Appendix C ☞ LRB 17-5983

Appendix D ☞ LRB 17-5986

Appendix E ☞ LRB 17-5989

Appendix F ☞ LRB 17-5995

Appendix G ☞ LRB 17-5998

Appendix H ☞ LRB 17-6006

Appendix I ☞ LRB 17-6007

Appendix J ☞ LRB 17-6012

Appendix K ☞ LRB 17-6021

Appendix L ☞ LRB 17-6023

Appendix M ☞ LRB 17-6024

Appendix N ☞ LRB 17-6027

Appendix O ☞ LRB 17-6028

Appendix P ☞ LRB 17-6031

Appendix Q ☞ LRB 17-6036

Appendix R ☞ LRB 17-6037

Appendix S ☞ LRB 17-6038

Appendix T ☞ LRB 17-6046

Appendix U ☞ LRB 17-6047

Appendix V ☞ LRB 17-6048

Appendix W ☞ LRB 17-6049

Appendix X ☞ LRB 17-6050

Appendix Y ☞ LRB 17-6052

Appendix Z ☞ LRB 17-6059

Appendix AA ☞ LRB 17-6065

Appendix BB ☞ LRB 17-6067

2017 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

For: Robin Vos (608) 266-9171 Drafter: mduchek
 By: Steve Secondary Drafters:
 Date: 11/16/2018 May Contact:

Same as LRB:

Submit via email: YES
 Requester's email: Rep.Vos@legis.wisconsin.gov
 Carbon copy (CC) to: steve.fawcett@legis.wisconsin.gov
 alicia.schweitzer@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

EIAs and fiscal estimates

Instructions:

No specific instructions given

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mduchek 11/16/2018				
/P1		eweiss 11/19/2018	mbarman 11/19/2018		State

FE Sent For: <END>

STATE OF WISCONSIN - LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB

Research (608-266-0341)

Library (608-266-7040)

Legal (608-266-3561)

LRB

11/14/18 - Meeting w/ Steve and Alicia in Vos
Office & 11/16/18 Follow up

- Don't want rule EIAs to evaluate cost to state.
Explained difference between EIA and fiscal estimate
and that agencies use one form for both. Agreed to
draft provision to require them to be done separately.



State of Wisconsin
2017 - 2018 LEGISLATURE

In 11-16-18
Out 11-19-18

LRB-6031/P1

MED:...

emw

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SA
XRF
RUF

- 1 AN ACT ^{Gen}; relating to: fiscal estimates and economic impact analyses for
(2) proposed administrative rules. ✓

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

* Under current law, ^{the state} an agency must prepare a fiscal estimate for each proposed rule, which must describe the fiscal effect of the proposed rule on local governmental fiscal liabilities and revenues, the fiscal effect of the proposed rule on state government, and, for rules that the agency determines may have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector, the anticipated costs that will be incurred by the private sector in complying with the rule. Also under current law, the agency must prepare an economic impact analysis for a proposed rule, which must contain certain specified information on the economic effect of the proposed rule on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility ratepayers, local governmental units, and the state's economy as a whole, as well as certain other information regarding the economic impact of the proposed rule. ✓

This bill specifically requires an economic impact analysis for a proposed rule to be prepared and submitted separately from the fiscal estimate for the proposed rule.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1 **SECTION 1.** 227.137 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

2 227.137 (2m) An agency's economic impact analysis under sub. (2) or revised
3 economic impact analysis under sub. (4) shall be prepared and submitted separately
4 from any fiscal estimate or revised fiscal estimate prepared and submitted under s.
5 227.14 (4) (a) or (d).

 ****NOTE: Currently, I believe, agencies typically prepare EIAs and fiscal estimates
for rules using a single, combined form designed by DOA. This language would prohibit
the use of a combined form going forward.

6

(END)



State of Wisconsin
2017 - 2018 LEGISLATURE

LRB-6031/P1
MED:emw

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

- 1 AN ACT *to create* 227.137 (2m) of the statutes; **relating to:** fiscal estimates and
2 economic impact analyses for proposed administrative rules.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, a state agency must prepare a fiscal estimate for each proposed rule, which must describe the fiscal effect of the proposed rule on local governmental fiscal liabilities and revenues, the fiscal effect of the proposed rule on state government, and, for rules that the agency determines may have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector, the anticipated costs that will be incurred by the private sector in complying with the rule. Also under current law, the agency must prepare an economic impact analysis for a proposed rule, which must contain certain specified information on the economic effect of the proposed rule on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility ratepayers, local governmental units, and the state's economy as a whole, as well as certain other information regarding the economic impact of the proposed rule.

This bill specifically requires an economic impact analysis for a proposed rule to be prepared and submitted separately from the fiscal estimate for the proposed rule.

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1

1 **SECTION 1.** 227.137 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

2 227.137 **(2m)** An agency's economic impact analysis under sub. (2) or revised

3 economic impact analysis under sub. (4) shall be prepared and submitted separately

4 from any fiscal estimate or revised fiscal estimate prepared and submitted under s.

5 227.14 (4) (a) or (d).

****NOTE: Currently, I believe, agencies typically prepare EIAs and fiscal estimates for rules using a single, combined form designed by DOA. This language would prohibit the use of a combined form going forward.

6 (END)